

## OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

<b>Scheme:</b>	<b>BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 1) ORDER 2022 Service Yard H &amp; Eagle Lane service lane – No loading/unloading restrictions</b>		
<b>Date Advertised:</b>	<b>22.06.2022</b>	<b>No. of objections / comments received:</b>	<b>1 objection</b>

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXX	<p>X XXXXXX states that the area is used by hundreds of Deliveroo &amp; Uber Eats drivers for picking up deliveries from restaurants in the town centre. Installing these restrictions will affect thousands of customers across Bracknell, Binfield, Wokingham and Ascot who use these apps.</p> <p>X asks that the parking restrictions are left alone or put back to what they were so they can all do their jobs.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions are in response to the large increase in observed delivery vehicles being parked in this area. Whilst the Council has sympathy with X XXXXXX and other delivery drivers, the current double yellow restrictions are being, particularly in the evening, almost constantly ignored by delivery drivers albeit parking legally as loading/unloading is allowed under the current restrictions. However, the current restrictions are designed to protect the access to Service Yard H and Eagle Lane and this is being impacted by the number of vehicles parking in the area.</p> <p>Short term parking will still be available for delivery drivers in Service Yard H and the dedicated Loading Bay in the access area. Alternatively season tickets are available for the nearby High Street car park from the councils parking team.</p>	<b>Proceed as advertised</b>

### Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr D Hamilton - Yes I have seen the shambles that this area has become with traffic and parking. It is correct about the amount of traffic movements. Bearing in mind that there are pedestrians trying to cross road here I have seen how hard it has become as well as dangerous. Bikes and vehicles all left moving in and out quite quickly and sometimes erratically. The area was not originally designed for this and I support the change in signage. However, I have seen delivery motorbikes at different locations then decide to travel on the path to get to collections points at other locations. I would like to know what steps would be taken to stop this happening if it became a problem with pavement collection as a result?

I am concerned though to some extent that the problem needs a regulated area as I am not sure it will simply just stop and I do understand the need for local business to offer this service. Is this being considered Please confirm

Council response - Stopping motorbikes riding on the footway is a very difficult issue to physically prevent. Bollards on the footway need to be spaced so to allow wheelchair/double pushchair access by law which also allows motorbikes to pass between them. Railings placed on the edge of the footway will need to leave gaps at pedestrian crossing points so motorbikes would be able to gain access at these points also. Clearly riding a motorbike along a footway is illegal so the only feasible way to prevent this is to liaise with the Police with a view to them carrying out enforcement action.

We are aware that this issue is not confined to this location and it is being looked at by the Town Centre Management Team. We understand they are talking to the local businesses as to what solutions may be available and how the deliveries could be better regulated.

Cllr T Parker – No response received.

## OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

<b>Scheme:</b>	<b>BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 1) ORDER 2022 Merlin Way, Sparrowhawk Way &amp; Chiffchaff Corner – No waiting at any time restrictions</b>		
<b>Date Advertised:</b>	<b>22.06.2022</b>	<b>No. of objections / comments received:</b>	<b>13 total (9 objections, 4 in support)</b>

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX Merlin Way	<p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX would like to express X concerns over the proposals.</p> <p>X states X is against the changes as the benefit of them will not be as huge as the disruption and inconvenience it will cause for the residents of those streets. X adds that residents in Sparrowhawk Way have off road parking where they can sort out deliveries. Deliveries would not be possible for houses in Merlin Way if the proposals went ahead and vehicles would have to park some distance away.</p> <p>X states X is not convinced by the benefit it will give. X adds X has worked from home for 2 years and has not seen any accidents and asks why this area has been selected.</p>	<p>Double yellow line restrictions allow for loading &amp; unloading so vehicles will still be allowed to stop and make deliveries.</p> <p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>
X X XXXXXXXXXXXXX	<p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXX states that X XX XXX XXXXX XX XXX XXXXX XXX XXX XXXXX XX XXX XXXXX XX XXXXXX XXX, X XXXXX XXXXX XXXX XXX XXXXXXXXXXXX XX XXXXXX XXX XX XXXXX XXX XXXX.</p> <p>X explains that X garage is accessed via driving underneath a bridge flat and X XXX XX XXX XXXX XX XXXX. X only has one allocated parking space and all of the visitors spaces are permanently used by neighbours who rotate their vehicles to ensure they don't lose the space. X and other neighbours find this practice frustrating.</p> <p>X adds X chooses not to park X car in other spaces outside neighbours houses as they would then be forced to park on Merlin Way and X respects that they would not want X X parked outside their houses. X explains X is</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>

	<p>not able to park X X in the car park by the shops as this would cause issues for him in getting vehicle insurance.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXXXXXX respects peoples views on the double yellow line proposals but hopes X has shown that it is not X X that is causing issues but rather Bracknell Council's failure to provide enough car parking spaces. X adds that in Jennetts Park and all new developments not enough parking has been provided. This has led to residents having to double park. X adds that the proposed restrictions will only make things worse as the vehicles will not disappear but be moved up or down the road.</p>	<p>The Jennetts Park development was provided with appropriate levels of parking in line with the parking standards in place at the time of planning consent.</p>	
<p>X X XXXXX Sparrowhawk Way</p>	<p>X XXXXX would like to object to the parking restriction proposals on Merlin Way Chiffchaff Corner, Siskin Gate and Sparrowhawk Way.</p> <p>X XXXXX lives on Sparrowhawk Way and can see no benefit to local residents in the proposals. X states there are rarely cars parked in this position and when they are they act to slow traffic down.</p> <p>X XXXXX asks if a consultation can be held about the speed of traffic on Sparrowhawk Way and Merlin Way, particularly when leaving the roundabout from Peacock Lane. X requests that traffic calming measures are introduced so that cars are forced to give way and slow down. X adds that recently a car exited the roundabout so fast that hit the kerb and damaged it. X asks if the Council can hold a local community meeting to discuss speeding on the estate.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>The road safety record of Sparrowhawk Way is excellent with no personal injury accident being recorded in the last five years. Current online speed assessment data, indicate that average speeds are below the current 30mph speed limit. In view of this there is little justification for traffic calming measures at this time.</p>	<p><b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b></p>
<p>X XXXXXXXX</p>	<p>The resident states that the recent proposals are even worse than originally proposed and shared on Facebook in April 2021. A number of residents object to the proposal due to the increased danger and difficulties this is going to cause in Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>X states that the current proposals have been instigated by a small number of residents with Council/political connections because they object to the white van and black VW car, owned by the same property, being parked there. The owners of vehicles will be moving next month. The other car that regularly parks there has been sold and the owners of this are also moving soon.</p> <p>X adds that the proposals are going to exasperate the current dangerous parking congestion in Chiffchaff Corner. The footway parking forces pedestrians, children and disabled people into the road and cars do not drive slowly in Chiffchaff Corner. X states that an emergency vehicle would struggle to access Chiffchaff Corner with the level of parking there. X adds</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>Double yellow line restrictions allow for loading &amp; unloading so vehicles will still be allowed to stop and make deliveries.</p>	<p><b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b></p>

	<p>that removing the parking will lead to more double parking in Chiffchaff Corner leading to visibility issues for people exiting their driveways</p> <p>X adds that double yellow lines will prevent vans/lorries, removal lorries etc from being able to stop. Taxis will not be able to stop outside houses and this will affect the resident as X regularly returns home from work late at night via taxi. X believes the proposals will also force more cars to park in Bullfinch Rise which already has parking problems especially footway parking.</p> <p>X adds X does not find the parking on Merlin Way a problem or an obstruction as it slows the traffic down. X believes the vegetation near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner is a bigger hazard as it blocks visibility for cars leaving.</p> <p>X adds that restricting parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner and Merlin Way makes sense as it would help pedestrians cross and improve visibility. X does not believe that double yellow lines need to extend so far into Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>X suggests marking parking bays along the roads to encourage parking that would slow down traffic or even cur parking spaces into the verge as they have in later stages of the development.</p> <p>X asks that a meeting being held with residents who can vote on different proposals before a final decision is made.</p>	<p>The issue of overgrown vegetation has been passed to the Council's Highway Maintenance team for their assessment and action.</p> <p>The Jennetts Park development was provided with appropriate levels of parking in line with the parking standards in place at the time of planning consent. The development was designed with parking areas provided behind dwellings and away from the main routes to avoid the proliferation of parking on these. The development was also designed to modern design guidelines which recommend large verge areas to improve the aesthetic nature of the largely urban environment. Whilst we sympathise with the residents concerns with regards to parking, we do not believe there would be widespread public support for the removal of the verge areas so the Council has no plans for such works at this time.</p> <p>A full public consultation on the proposals has been carried out in accordance with the procedures laid down in the Road Traffic Regulations Act. Objections &amp; comments have been reviewed by Officers, local Council Members with a final decision being made by the Executive Member for Planning &amp; Transportation all in line with the Councils agreed procedures. A public meeting is not deemed necessary at this time.</p> <p>Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.</p>	
<p>X XXXXXX Sparrowhawk Way</p>	<p>X XXXXXX has lived at X current address for X years and states that parking has always been an issue but suggests this is down to poor planning. X states that many properties only have a garage and one parking space regardless of their size.</p> <p>X states that parking in Chiffchaff Corner is an issue with lots of the footways being parked on. X believes that the proposals will not help the problem just move it along Sparrowhawk Way and Merlin Way.</p> <p>X adds that the whole issue started with residents falling out over a white van and a black VW owned by residents who are now moving.</p> <p>X XXXXXX says a residents meeting is needed to work out what can be done about parking, speeding and road safety in Jennetts Park. X adds that the proposal is simply moving the problem elsewhere and reduce the value of homes due to reduced parking availability.</p> <p>X suggests that parking bays are marked along Merlin Way and Sparrowhawk Way (which will also help reduce traffic speed) or parking bays cut into the verge. X adds there have been a number of near misses due to the speed of traffic along Sparrowhawk Way.</p>	<p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>The road safety record of Sparrowhawk Way is excellent with no personal injury accident being recorded in the last five years. Current online speed assessment data, indicate that average speeds are below the current 30mph speed limit. In view of this there is little justification for traffic calming measures at this time.</p> <p>The Jennetts Park development was provided with appropriate levels of parking in line with the parking standards in place at the time of planning consent. The development was designed with parking areas provided behind dwellings and away from the main routes to avoid the proliferation of parking on these. The development was also designed to modern design guidelines which recommend large verge areas to improve the aesthetic nature of the largely urban environment. Whilst</p>	<p><b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b></p>

		we sympathise with the residents concerns with regards to parking, we do not believe there would be widespread public support for the removal of the verge areas so the Council has no plans for such works at this time.	
X X XXXX	<p>X XXXX believes it is absolutely necessary to introduce the no waiting at anytime proposals.</p> <p>X XXXX states that anyone parking at the top of Merlin Way is in contravention of the rules around parking near a junction in The Highway Code. X adds that the purpose of The Highway Code is to promote road safety so by definition the parking here is unsafe and X is surprised there has not been a serious accident.</p> <p>X states that residents believe that the cars are slowing traffic down. X adds if speed is an issue then this should be tackled by traffic calming measures and not dangerously parked vehicles.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>The road safety record of Sparrowhawk Way is excellent with no personal injury accident being recorded in the last five years. Current online speed assessment data, indicate that average speeds are below the current 30mph speed limit. In view of this there is little justification for traffic calming measures at this time.</p> <p>Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>
X X XXXXXXXX	<p>X XXXXXXXX supports the parking restriction proposals on the grounds of road safety.</p> <p>As a resident of Siskin Gate X has seen first-hand how dangerous the parking is. It creates a hazard for vehicles entering and exiting the main junction and adjacent junctions.</p> <p>X adds the parking also poses a danger to pedestrians crossing Merlin Way and X has witnessed several near misses as pedestrians try to cross between the parked vehicles.</p> <p>X hopes the proposals go ahead.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>

		considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.	
X X XXXXX Chiffchaff Corner	<p>X XXXXX is totally opposed to the proposals. X believes it is obvious that residents need more parking to make it safer and limiting the existing will create chaos. X asks why the Council isn't looking to add more parking bays in some of the green areas that are large and not particularly nice.</p> <p>X states that painting lines is much cheaper than actually solving the problem. X adds that the proposal is far too extensive and will create arguments with the residents. X asks why are double yellow lines are proposed? This will stop people being able to park outside their house to drop off shopping or be picked up/dropped off by a taxi</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>Double yellow line restrictions allow for loading &amp; unloading so vehicles will still be allowed to stop and make deliveries.</p> <p>The Jennetts Park development was provided with appropriate levels of parking in line with the parking standards in place at the time of planning consent. The development was designed with parking areas provided behind dwellings and away from the main routes to avoid the proliferation of parking on these. The development was also designed to modern design guidelines which recommend large verge areas to improve the aesthetic nature of the largely urban environment. Whilst we sympathise with the residents concerns with regards to parking, we do not believe there would be widespread public support for the removal of the verge areas so the Council has no plans for such works at this time.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>
X XXXXXXX	<p>The resident is in favour of the restrictions as on many occasions it's been difficult to exit the road because of parked vehicles.</p> <p>However X believes that the restrictions need to go further down Merlin Way as the cars will be forced down the road and park at the junction of Wren Gardens.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>

		Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.	
X XXXXXXXXX	<p>The resident is writing to strongly object to the proposed parking restrictions. They strongly believe the restrictions will not eliminate the problems with parking and will actually make the problems even worse.</p> <p>They state that parking is already very limited within the area which is why people park in various different areas. By adding double yellow lines parked cars will just be shifted into neighbouring roads which are already congested.</p> <p>The resident lives in Chiffchaff Corner and many cars parked in the road are from people who live elsewhere. If double yellow lines are added then the parking will become even worse with residents fighting over even fewer parking spaces.</p> <p>The resident believes that the Council should not be looking to restrict parking but looking for ways in which parking provision can be increased. They suggest that some of the verge areas could be converted in parking areas which would ease congestion in many areas.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.</p> <p>The Jennetts Park development was provided with appropriate levels of parking in line with the parking standards in place at the time of planning consent. The development was designed with parking areas provided behind dwellings and away from the main routes to avoid the proliferation of parking on these. The development was also designed to modern design guidelines which recommend large verge areas to improve the aesthetic nature of the largely urban environment. Whilst we sympathise with the residents concerns with regards to parking, we do not believe there would be widespread public support for the removal of the verge areas so the Council has no plans for such works at this time.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>
X X XXXXXXXXX Chiffchaff Corner	<p>As a resident of Chiffchaff Corner, X XXXXXXXXX objects for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementing parking restrictions will only push the parking problems further along Chiffchaff Corner and down Merlin Way</li> <li>• The proposals do nothing to solve the real problems which is lack of parking</li> <li>• X XXXXXXXXX states that X spoke to Cllr Temperton when X first moved in and was told that when they were lifted on the main road parking in the side roads would ease. The proposals sentence the residents to being continually surrounded by cars</li> <li>• X XXXXXXXXX is concerned that people will start parking opposite X driveway making it difficult to exit and enter.</li> </ul>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• X questions why parking restrictions have been proposed for Chiffchaff Corner when parking there is no different from many side roads across the estate.</li> </ul>	<p>visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>The Council has introduced parking restrictions at several locations in Jennetts Park in the last 2/3 years following evidence of consistent obstructive or unsafe parking.</p> <p>Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.</p>	
X X XXXXX	<p>X XXXXX wishes to express X concern over the parking restriction proposals.</p> <p>X understands many residents have expressed their concerns over the parking in the area. X states that parking is a contentious issue on the estate and there are not enough spaces for all of the residents and their cars resulting in cars being parked on the roads.</p> <p>X suggests that instead of yellow lines, which will increase parking in side roads, could it be considered that some of the large verge areas are removed and turned into parking areas instead?</p> <p>X adds that X appreciates that the areas are designed to be attractive and green but a lot of them are dead/unkept and some could be removed to create parking spaces.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>The Jennetts Park development was provided with appropriate levels of parking in line with the parking standards in place at the time of planning consent. The development was designed with parking areas provided behind dwellings and away from the main routes to avoid the proliferation of parking on these. The development was also designed to modern design guidelines which recommend large verge areas to improve the aesthetic nature of the largely urban environment. Whilst we sympathise with the residents concerns with regards to parking, we do not believe there would be widespread public support for the removal of the verge areas so the Council has no plans for such works at this time.</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>
X X XXXXXX	<p>X XXXXXX states X is sure that there will be residents who will oppose the proposals but X is writing on behalf of the many residents who do support the proposals. X adds that the cars are parked in contravention of the Highway Code and if there are signs and lines they will be forced to appreciate this.</p>	<p>The Council has been contacted by several residents and local Council members regarding the parking in this area. The Council has carried out a number of parking surveys which have indicated a consistent parking presence in this area and in particular near to the junction of Chiffchaff Corner.</p> <p>Due to the comments and objections received it is clear that the current proposals do not have widespread support among residents. Therefore the Council will not be proceeding with the full proposal but will introduce restrictions to prohibit parking at the junction of Chiffchaff</p>	<b>Implement modified proposals as shown in Drg 5305-005</b>

		<p>Corner in line with Highway Code rules regarding parking at a junction. Parking has been consistently observed at this location and restricts visibility for those leaving the road and can on occasion physically obstruct the adjacent pedestrian dropped kerb crossing.</p> <p>Once the restrictions are put in place the parking in the area will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they can be considered and will be put forward in future Traffic Regulation Order processes.</p>	
--	--	---	--

**Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:**

Both Cllr Temperton & Cllr Neil agreed that in view of the lack of the support for the proposals, they would support the recommendation to limit the introduction of restrictions to the junction of Merlin Way and Chiffchaff Corner where dangerous and obstructive parking has been consistently observed.