

Annex B**OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER**

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 2) ORDER 2019		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (South Lynn Crescent)		
Date Advertised:	25th September 2019	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
Mrs X XXXX	<p>Mrs XXXX states that she believes that a resident from Swancote Green has applied for a disabled bay which will be located in South Lynn Crescent. Mrs XXXX asks that as the disabled parking bay outside no 6 South Lynn Crescent is no longer used could this bay not be utilised instead of installing a new one.</p> <p>Mrs XXXX states that if the bay outside no 10 is installed then there will be 3 bays in close proximity. She asks if the grass verges outside the bungalows could be converted to parking areas.</p>	<p>The proposed disabled parking bay is as a result of an application from a resident of South Lynn Crescent. The Council has not received an application for a disabled parking bay from a resident of Swancote Green.</p> <p>The Council was unaware of the situation regarding the disabled parking bay outside no 6 South Lynn Crescent. The Council will investigate the use of the bay and arrange it's removal if it is no longer needed.</p> <p>The Council has previously investigated the possibility of converting the grass area to the side of no 2 to parking bays however this proposal was rejected by the Council's Planning section.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mr X XXXX XX Swancote Green	<p>Mr XXXX states that he believes the application has been made by a resident of Swancote Green. Mr XXXX adds that he has no objection to the resident having a disabled bay, he objects to an additional bay being installed. He states that the bay outside no 6 is longer used by the resident of no 6 but by a resident of Swancote Green.</p> <p>Mr XXXX adds that parking in South Lynn Crescent is at a premium as residents from other roads park in there.</p>	<p>The proposed disabled parking bay is as a result of an application from a resident of South Lynn Crescent. The Council has not received an application for a disabled parking bay from a resident of Swancote Green.</p> <p>The Council was unaware of the situation regarding the disabled parking bay outside no 6 South Lynn Crescent. The Council will investigate the use of the bay to ensure it is still needed and being used correctly.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	<p>He states there is already a disabled parking bay outside no 14 and 6 so another one would be a big issue for parking in the area.</p>		
<p>Mrs X XXXXXXXXX</p>	<p>Mrs XXXXXXXXX states that the information in the consultation letter is incorrect in stating that there are two existing bays, when in fact there is only one. She adds the disabled parking outside number 2 was removed sometime ago. She also adds that the residents of no 6 no longer use the bay outside their house.</p> <p>Mrs XXXXXXXXX states it seems we are planning to put 3 bays in a row. Mrs XXXXXXXXX would like to object to this as she can't park outside her own house as it is. South Lynn Crescent is regularly filled with cars from other roads and she does not go out in the evening because she will not be able to find a parking space when she returns.</p> <p>She states that a resident of Swancote Green uses one of the disabled bays in South Lynn Crescent however she feels they abuse the use of the bay and their blue badge.</p>	<p>There are currently two existing bays in South Lynn Crescent outside no 6 and outside no 14.</p> <p>The proposed disabled parking bay is as a result of an application from a resident of South Lynn Crescent. The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The Council was unaware of the situation regarding the disabled parking bay outside no 6 South Lynn Crescent. The Council will investigate the use of the bay and arrange it's removal if it is no longer needed.</p> <p>The applicant currently parks their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>If the resident believes that a resident is misusing a blue disabled badge then we would suggest that they contact the Council's Blue Badge Team who can investigate further.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

Local Member comments

No response has been received Cllr M Tullet or Cllr P Heydon

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 2) ORDER 2019		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Oakdale)		
Date Advertised:	25th September 2019	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
Mr X XXXXXX	<p>Mr XXXXXX asks why he did not receive any notification with regards to proposed disabled parking bay.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXX would like to object to the installation of the disabled parking bay as there is already one outside no 1. He adds he would have no opposition to the new bay providing the existing one is removed.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXX concludes by saying an application to remove the disabled outside no 1 was made some time ago but ignored so he asks for clarification on this.</p>	<p>A letter was hand delivered to all of the properties accessed from the parking area where the proposed disabled parking bay is to be located. Additionally a notice was placed on a lamp column near to the proposed location.</p> <p>The Council was advised that the disabled parking outside no 1 was no longer used and as such carried out a local consultation to confirm this. In response to this, the Council was contacted by the applicant requesting a new disabled bay nearer to their home but for the existing bay to remain until this happened. Therefore it is the intention that the existing bay outside no 1 will be removed following the installation of the new disabled parking bay. The Council acknowledge this should have been explained in the consultation literature.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mrs X XXXXX X Oakdale	<p>Mrs XXXXX has no objection to the proposed disabled parking bay but seeks clarification on the existing disabled parking bay outside no 1.</p> <p>She adds that earlier this year the residents were advised that the Council were looking to remove the bay but to date it has not been removed. She asks for an update on this.</p> <p>She asks if the parking spaces in the parking area can be assigned to each property as the number of spaces currently is not sufficient with some houses having more than one car.</p>	<p>The Council was advised that the disabled parking outside no 1 was no longer used and as such carried out a local consultation to confirm this. In response to this, the Council was contacted by the applicant requesting a new disabled bay nearer to their home but for the existing bay to remain until this happened. Therefore it is the intention that the existing bay outside no 1 will be removed following the installation of the new disabled parking bay. The Council acknowledge this should have been explained in the consultation literature.</p> <p>Under current highway law the Council is unable to allocate parking spaces, situated on adopted highway, to individual properties.</p>	Proceed as advertised

<p>Mr X XXXXXXXX X Oakdale</p>	<p>Mr XXXXXXXX states that there is an unused disabled bay outside his house that was used by the previous owners.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX adds he applied to the Council to have the disabled space removed but despite a local consultation being carried out this has never happened. Mr Quinlan asks again if this space can be removed.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX states that during the summer additional parking spaces were added to other areas of Oakdale but none in this particular parking area. He asks if there plans for additional parking in this cul-de-sac?</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX concludes by stating that an adjacent property was granted permission to install a dropped kerb driveway which has reduced the available parking.</p>	<p>The Council was advised that the disabled parking outside no 1 was no longer used and as such carried out a local consultation to confirm this. In response to this, the Council was contacted by the applicant requesting a new disabled bay nearer to their home but for the existing bay to remain until this happened. Therefore it is the intention that the existing bay outside no 1 will be removed following the installation of the new disabled parking bay. The Council acknowledge this should have been explained in the consultation literature.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the ever increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas, whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council, in association with Silva Homes, endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. However, there are no plans for additional parking in Oakdale at this time. Oakdale will therefore be added to the list for future consideration.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
------------------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------

Local Member comments

Cllr C Dudley - No objection to the addition of the parking bay, but would like to be assured regarding the bay outside number 1, if the user of this bay has moved it should be brought back into general use before installation of the new bay. If the bay outside number 1 is to remain I would like to be assured that the new residents using this bay are entitled to a disabled bay under the rules we set down for disabled bays.

Officer response - It is our intention to remove the disabled bay as we are satisfied it will no longer be needed following the installation of the new bay. We will ensure that both sets of works are carried out at the same time.

Cllr M Brunel-Walker and Cllr Ms S Hayes agreed with Cllr Dudley's comments

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2019		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Ullswater)		
Date Advertised:	25th September 2019	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
Mr XXX XXX Ullswater	<p>Mr XXX opposes the creation of another disabled parking bay in an already busy parking area.</p> <p>Mr XXX adds that there are already two disabled parking spaces located at the opposite end of the parking area and creating another one will significantly reduce chances of parking.</p> <p>Mr XXX asks is it common to have 12% of the parking spaces available for disabled parking? And asks for what kind of disabilities are they granted?</p> <p>Mr XXX states that he is not aware of anyone locally with significant mobility issues or using a wheelchair.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them. There is no set definition of what disabilities disabled parking bays are granted for however, applicants must prove that they have reduced mobility which is backed up by a written statement by a medical professional.</p> <p>The Council's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking.</p> <p>The applicant currently parks their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mr XXX Ullswater	<p>Mr XXX is objecting to the additional disabled parking bay in Ullswater as available parking is already limited and the proposals will make this worse.</p>	<p>The applicant currently parks their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr L Gibson has indicated her support for the bay

No response has been received from Cllr M Gbadebo

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2019		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Nuthurst)		
Date Advertised:	25th September 2019	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
Mr & Mrs XXXXXX XX Nuthurst	<p>Mr & Mrs XXXXXX object to the proposed disabled parking bay in Nuthurst.</p> <p>They state that the space has been a source of contention for the past few years with one resident believing they should be entitled to the space.</p> <p>They believe in view of this the resident has made the application because of this rather than any physical disability as they state the resident has no discernible disability.</p> <p>They add that parking in Nuthurst is very limited with many residents having work vehicles regularly parked. He states that they struggle to find a space in the evening upon arriving home from work.</p> <p>They add there already 2 disabled parking spaces in the block meaning that a number of cars regularly park on the grass verges.</p> <p>They state that the existing bays are marked out very narrow making it hard to get in and out of a car. Additionally the level of street lighting is almost non-existent with only 2 street lights lighting the entire area and the light is very focused.</p> <p>Mr & Mrs XXXXXX ask is consideration could be given to converting any of the grass areas to parking bays or allocated parking.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, specifically they are in receipt of a blue disabled parking badge, have no designated off-street parking and have a condition(s) that directly affects their mobility. The conditions have also been verified by a signed statement from a medical professional.</p> <p>The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the ever increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas, whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. A number of additional spaces were created in Nuthurst a number of years ago, with three in this particular parking area. There are no plans for additional spaces at this time.</p> <p>The comments regarding the level of street lighting have been passed to the Council's street lighting team for their consideration.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr C Dudley asked for the Officers comments with regards to the applicants criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay to be expanded upon and asked that the comments regarding the street lighting be passed to the Council's Lighting team as he concurred with it.

Cllr M Brunel-Walker agreed with Cllr Dudley's comments and added he has reported the street lighting issue himself.

No response was received from Cllr Ms S Hayes.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2019		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Brooke Place)		
Date Advertised:	25th September 2019	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
Mr & Mrs XXXXX XX Brooke Place	<p>Mr & Mrs XXXXX are alarmed with our plans to re-instate a second disabled parking bay outside the XXXXX of their house.</p> <p>They state that one of the disabled residents regularly park their car in front of their garage and they are not aware of any disabled residents who are unable to walk to and from their car.</p> <p>They add whenever the disabled resident is picked up or dropped off the existing bay is only used for a short time. The existing unrestricted parking area is used by visitors as Brooke Place is often choked with traffic. They add that a better use of resources would be convert the entire grassed are to additional parking.</p> <p>They conclude by stating that the existing disabled parking bay is adequate for the local community needs.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The Council does not agree that we are intending to re-instate the disabled parking bay. The proposals involve building a new parking area behind the existing turning area which will accommodate the existing and new disabled parking bay. The proposal acknowledges that the objector has had a dropped kerb crossing installed following the removal of the previous disabled parking bay and this proposal will make access and egress from their driveway easier.</p> <p>The Council has previously investigated the possibility of converting the grass area to parking bays however this proposal was rejected by the Council's Planning section.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr J Harrison commented – ‘If this is an additional parking space as indicated on the sheet then I would agree this should proceed as planned’.

No comments have been received from Cllr I Leake or Cllr A Shiv Banduri