

Annex B

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019		
	Eastern Road – ‘No waiting at any time’ restrictions		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	15

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
XXXXX and XXXXX XXXX XX Fleming Place	<p>The residents mention that each flat in this estate is sold with only one parking space and most of the residents and their families that live there have more than 1 car.</p> <p>They add that no one will mind if some residents leave their car on the road that is proposed to restrict, parking there as they currently are.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Councils primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p>	Proceed as advertised

<p>Mr X XXXXXXXX XX Fleming Place</p>	<p>Mr XXXXXXXX strongly objects to the Eastern Road parking restriction proposals.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX states that he has lived there for over 2 years and there are not enough parking spaces in the development meaning many residents park in Eastern Road.</p> <p>He states that residents are currently only parking there between 6pm and 8am when the use of Eastern Road is limited to mainly just the residents.</p> <p>He mentions that unrestricted parking will mean that non-residents can park there to leave their car and walk to nearby amenities and cars could be left there for extended periods of time. Mr XXXXXXXX believes this will take up valuable spaces for the residents.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX recommends that we consider placing double yellow lines just at the junctions in as small areas as possible and to ban HGV parking all together due to the proposal coming about from the safety hazard caused by HGV parking.</p> <p>The resident has asked if we have considered the local kebab van that uses Eastern Road as he believes that the proposal will mean the local businessman will no longer have any business at Eastern Road.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX asks for alternative solutions to be considered.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX has asked us if we have considered the new estate being built at the end of Eastern Road and the site next door to Fleming Place which he mentions is likely to be developed on.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Councils primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p> <p>Unfortunately, there is currently no restriction available that would allow the Council to only prohibit parking based on a vehicles class or weight.</p> <p>It is accepted that by leaving the parking unrestricted it is possible that cars from elsewhere may park in Eastern Road, however this is currently the case outside the hours of the single yellow line restrictions. This issue could be addressed by the installation of a residents parking scheme; however, this has not been taken forward. The purpose of a residents parking scheme is to remove competition for kerb side parking between residents and other nearby facilities causing parking demand such as shoppers, commuters or workers. If the Council were to introduce a residents parking scheme, parking would only be allowed in places deemed safe and not causing an obstruction meaning it is unlikely that many more spaces would be available than the 18 unrestricted spaces being made available in the current proposals. Given this large disparity in demand we do not believe this to be viable solution. Additionally, residents would be required to buy a permit(s) which would not guarantee that they would be able to find a parking space upon returning home.</p> <p>The trader has been consulted on the proposals.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
---	--	---	-------------------------------------

		The proposals have been developed in consultation with the Council's Development & Adoptions Team and considering possible future parking demand.	
Ms X XXXXXXX Fleming Place	Ms XXXXXXX strongly objects to the Eastern Road proposals. She mentions that parking at Fleming Place has become increasingly difficult and these proposals will only make the situation worse for the residents. She hopes that an alternative solution can be found that has less of a negative impact on residents.	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Council's primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mr X XXXX Fleming Place	<p>Mr X XXXX objects to the proposed waiting restrictions in Eastern Road. He adds he understands the annoyance and frustration experienced by residents due to overnight lorry parking.</p> <p>He states that it is already particularly hard to find a parking space that is close to their property and the proposal would only make it worse. He mentions that the proposal will force residents to park in other residential roads nearby.</p> <p>The resident has asked if we have considered making Eastern Road a resident parking scheme with purchasable permits which will deter HGVs from parking there and giving the residents extra parking.</p> <p>The resident says that we should consider making just the immediate area outside the school a no stopping between the hours of 9am and 6pm.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Council's primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly</p>	Proceed as advertised

		<p>parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p> <p>The purpose of a residents parking scheme is to remove competition for kerb side parking between residents and other nearby facilities causing parking demand such as shoppers, commuters or workers. If the Council were to introduce a residents parking scheme, parking would only be allowed in places deemed safe and not causing an obstruction meaning it is unlikely that many more spaces would be available than the 18 unrestricted spaces being made available in the current proposals. Given this large disparity in demand we do not believe this to be a viable solution. Additionally, residents would be required to buy a permit(s) which would be no guarantee that they would be able to find a parking space upon returning home.</p> <p>Given the parking observed outside the current parking restrictions the Council does not believe that offering completely unrestricted parking (albeit restricted outside the school) to be a viable solution.</p>	
<p>Ms X XXXXX & Mr X XXXXX</p> <p>Fleming Place</p>	<p>Ms XXXXX & Mr XXXXX object to the proposals on the ground that they own 2 cars and there is only one parking space per flat at Fleming Place, so they have to park on Eastern Road. They mention that most of Fleming Place owns 2 cars and are unsure where they will be able to park if the restrictions were to go in.</p> <p>Ms XXXXX & Mr XXXXX mention that if it is lorry noise that is causing the issues, then we should consider just restricting lorry parking, a residential parking scheme or just keep the restrictions the way that they already are.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Council's primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p> <p>Unfortunately, there is currently no restriction available that would allow the Council to only prohibit parking based on a vehicle's class or weight.</p> <p>The purpose of a residents parking scheme is to remove competition for kerb side parking between residents and other nearby facilities causing parking demand such as shoppers, commuters or workers. If</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

		<p>the Council were to introduce a residents parking scheme, parking would only be allowed in places deemed safe and not causing an obstruction meaning it is unlikely that many more spaces would be available than the 18 unrestricted spaces being made available in the current proposals. Given this large disparity in demand we do not believe this to be viable solution. Additionally, residents would be required to buy a permit(s) which would not guarantee that they would be able to find a parking space upon returning home.</p>	
<p>Miss X XXXX & Mr X XXXXXX Fleming Place</p>	<p>Miss XXXX & Mr XXXXXX state that the proposal will make it difficult for them and other residents in the quarter. They mention that when buying the property, they were assured that there would be parking for residents without complications but as time has gone on restrictions have been put in place. They mention that the proposals will mean that residents will no longer be able to park near their property.</p> <p>The residents believe that the quantity of parking is not enough for the number of flats.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Councils primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>Mr X XXXXXX Fleming Place</p>	<p>Mr XXXXXX has a two-bedroom apartment with one parking space so currently uses Eastern Road to park his car in. He and his partner work in a remote location with early start and finish times so they are not able to use public transport. He adds that if Eastern Road were to have the proposed restrictions installed then this would push the issue to other roads and the other residents would struggle to find parking close to their homes. He states that he has not seen any issues with sight lines or accesses being blocked as generally residents are sensible with where they park.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Councils primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

<p>Ms X XXXXXXXXXXXXXX Fleming Place</p>	<p>Ms XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX would like to object to the proposed parking restrictions as her partner parks his car in Eastern Road. They have a two-bedroom apartment but only have one parking space. They both work in a remote location and are dependent on their cars as they are not able to use public transport.</p> <p>She adds that restricting parking on Eastern Road will force people to park elsewhere. She feels that residents park sensibly and Eastern Road is now residential rather than industrial the parking restrictions should reflect this.</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Council's primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>Mr X XXXXXX Business Owner Eastern Road</p>	<p>Mr XXXXXX believes the proposals are reasonable but makes several comments.</p> <p>He states that lorry parking has stopped since the flats have been occupied. He believes the excessive vehicle noise is due to the vehicles visiting the kebab van and the sheer number of vehicles coming and going from Fleming Place.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXX asks where the customers of the kebab van will park as presumably the majority of the available spaces will be filled by residents.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXX asks if the kebab van should still be allowed to operate in the road given the change from industrial to residential nature of the road.</p> <p>Finally, Mr XXXXXX asks if the regulations will be monitored and fines issued. He states that on a Saturday, when the parking is restricted, there are normally 40+ cars parked and there is no sign that any have been fined.</p>	<p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The trader has been consulted on the proposals. It should be noted that the trader is currently licenced to trade in Eastern Road in a specific position and their licence is based on the premise that they are only able to trade there if no other cars are parked in this position. Therefore, with the proposed change in restrictions any vehicle will be able to park in this position during the day meaning that there is no guarantee that the space will be available for the trader.</p> <p>Should residents have an issue with the trader it is suggested that they contact the Council's Licencing Team with specific instances of anti-social behaviour which can be investigated further.</p> <p>The Council has several Parking Enforcement Officers who have regular patrol routes that cover the majority of the Borough. Any new</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

		restrictions are usually given a high priority in enforcement in the first few weeks of their implementation.	
Cllr X XXXXXX Bracknell TC	Eastern Road is a mixed housing/industrial area that has a school close by and Cllr XXXXXX believe a building that takes children with learning problems and whilst he has no objections it must be remembered heavy goods vehicles uses this road and should be catered for.	Comments noted	Proceed as advertised
Mr X XXXXXXXXX XXX XXXX Eastern Road	Mr XXXXXXXXX states that by allowing parking opposite the entrance and exit to The Rise the Council are allowing what they claim to be trying to stop. He adds that the Rise have a regular minibus shuttle operating between Eastern Rd and the main Garth Hill site. Mr XXXXXXXXX asks for reassurance that the area opposite the Rise will be kept clear of parking to ensure unhindered access.	Vehicles have been regularly observed parking on Eastern Road in the vicinity of The Rise with many of them parked on the southern side of the road between the school access and exit. Therefore, the proposals include the prohibition of parking of the southern side of the road adjacent to the school. The Council has used tracking software to check whether allowing parking opposite the school exit would have a detrimental effect on vehicles exiting. The checks have shown any vehicle up to the size of an LGV (7.1m length) should be able to exit in one manoeuvre. Therefore, we do not believe that the proposals would significantly affect access and egress into The Rise. It should also be noted that a vehicle could exit left and turn at the roundabout/turning area just to the west of the Rise.	Proceed as advertised
Mrs XXXXXXXX XX Fleming Place	Mrs XXXXXXXX states that the current parking issues have been caused by the developers employing a parking enforcement company to enforce the permit scheme and no parking in the access roads of Fleming Place. Prior to this, residents were able to find somewhere to park within the development. She asks when will Fleming Place be adopted as residents will then be able to park on the development once again. Mrs XXXXXXXX states that residents are angry and concerned at the proposals. She states that the residents have more right to park on Eastern Road than lorry drivers who regularly park there. She states this needs urgently addressing rather than penalising residents of Fleming Place. Mrs XXXXXXXX asks who, and when, re-granted the trading licence for the kebab van who she feels have a serious impact on parking and traffic flow on Eastern Road. She asks how this is being allowed whilst residents who purchased properties in Fleming Place on the understanding that they could use Eastern Road as overflow parking. Mrs XXXXXXXX concludes by asking that why as Council Tax paying residents are being treated so punitively and shoddily, whilst allowing other anti-social practices to flourish within their immediate environment.	The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Councils primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway. The developer of Fleming Place has been provided with a list of outstanding issues that need to be rectified before the Council will consider proceeding with the adoption of the highway. It is our understanding that these works are due to be undertaken late this month (October) and pending their satisfactory completion, work to finalise the adoption can continue. Eastern Road is an adopted road meaning that any lawful and taxed vehicle has right of passage of over it. Whilst the Council understands the residents frustration over the parking situation in Fleming Place, they have no additional rights with regards to the use of Eastern Road than any other road user.	Proceed as advertised

		<p>The trading licence for the trader operating in Eastern Road was renewed in July 2019 and is due to be renewed at the end of the year. It is suggested that the resident contacts the Council's Licencing Team with specific instances of anti-social behaviour which can be investigated further.</p> <p>It should be noted that the trader is currently licenced to trade in Eastern Road in a specific position and their licence is based on the premise that they are only able to trade there if no other cars are parked in this position. Therefore, with the proposed change in restrictions any vehicle will be able to park in this position during the day meaning that there is no guarantee that the space will be available for the trader.</p>	
<p>Ms X XXXXXX Fleming Place</p>	<p>Ms XXXXXX understands that the restrictions are being proposed due to lorries parking on the road and disturbing local residents and businesses and she agrees with this.</p> <p>She states that all residents have one parking permit however the parking restrictions were put in place by the developers after many residents had moved in. Her partner currently parks his car on Eastern Road which our proposals would stop and mean they have no alternative parking.</p> <p>Ms XXXXXX asks if the restrictions are installed then the Council provides an alternative parking location. She adds there are many young women living in the development and would feel unsafe parking so far from home especially during the dark winter months.</p> <p>Ms XXXXXX states that the proposed unrestricted parking areas would not provide enough parking for the residents currently trying to find somewhere to park. She adds that one area is normally taken up by the kebab van and their customers and residents would not be happy leaving their vehicles near to here.</p> <p>Ms XXXXXX adds that the proposed car washing business will add more parking pressure to the road.</p> <p>Ms XXXXXX asks if installing a residents parking scheme on Eastern Road has been considered?</p>	<p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Council's primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p> <p>The trader is currently licenced to trade in Eastern Road in a specific position. Their licence is based on the premise that they are only able to trade there if no other cars are parked in this position. Therefore, it should be noted, that with the change in restrictions any vehicle will be able to park in this position during the day meaning that there is no guarantee that the space will be available for the trader.</p> <p>The purpose of a residents parking scheme is to remove competition for kerb side parking between residents and other nearby facilities causing parking demand such as shoppers, commuters or workers. If</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

		<p>the Council were to introduce a residents parking scheme, parking would only be allowed in places deemed safe and not causing an obstruction meaning it is unlikely that many more spaces would be available than the 18 unrestricted spaces being made available in the current proposals. Given this large disparity in demand we do not believe this to be viable solution. Additionally, residents would be required to buy a permit(s) which would not guarantee that they would be able to find a parking space upon returning home.</p>	
Mr X XXXXXX	<p>Mr XXXXXX wishes to point out what he believes is an oversight in the design.</p> <p>He states that a kebab van parks on Eastern Road near to its junction with London Road and will be parked in one of the unrestricted areas. If it continues to park there it will essentially use up one of three unrestricted areas.</p>	<p>The trader is currently licenced to trade in Eastern Road in a specific position. Their licence is based on the premise that they are only able to trade there if no other cars are parked in this position. Therefore, it should be noted, that with the change in restrictions any vehicle will be able to park in this position during the day meaning that there is no guarantee that the space will be available for the trader.</p> <p>The trader has been consulted on the proposals.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXX Fleming Place	<p>Ms XXXXX acknowledges the concerns raised by local residents with regards to excessive vehicle noise, she believes there is similar issue for residents on the A329 side of the development.</p> <p>She states that the A329 is a busy major dual carriageway road with a high level of noise which she believes is worse than parked up lorries.</p> <p>She adds that she does not believe that this issue has been looked at and she does not believe the introduction of the proposed restrictions is proportionate to the issues. She believes the Council should be considering other measures such as banning lorry parking, making lorries turn their engines off, speed humps or speed limits.</p> <p>She continues by adding that a lot of residents struggle with parking as each flat is allocated only one permit whilst most flats have 2 or more cars. She states that a lot of residents believe that the Council is trying to introduce these restrictions for monetary reasons.</p> <p>Mrs XXXXX states that the local authority has a duty of care for local residents. She concludes by asking the Council to consider the introduction of residents parking.</p>	<p>As the resident acknowledges, the A329 London Road as an A class dual-carriageway road designed to carry high volumes of traffic of all classes. The nature of the road has not changed in a number of years so any potential buyer of a property within Fleming Place should have been aware of it at the time of purchase. The Council is not aware of any other complaints with regards to vehicle noise or specific defects in the road that would cause excessive vehicle noise.</p> <p>The Council has received a number of complaints about parking in Eastern Road particularly in relation to overnight parking from long distance lorries. The Council has carried out its own check on parking and this has shown that on average between 30-40 cars are regularly parking overnight. Accesses are regularly blocked and there are frequent occurrences of cars parking wholly on the footway blocking access to passing pedestrians.</p> <p>The Council has considered various options for the control of parking and believes the proposals offer the best balance of providing a limited amount of parking whilst maintaining the free flow of traffic and access to adjacent businesses and side roads.</p> <p>Unfortunately, there is currently no restriction available that would allow the Council to only prohibit parking based on a vehicles class or weight or force vehicles to turn off their engines when parked.</p> <p>The issue of residents having only one permit to park within Fleming Place is a private matter between the residents and the developer. A parking management strategy was agreed with the developer as part of their planning agreement, however this only extended as far as residents from certain blocks being directed to park in specific areas. However, as the access roads and parking areas are still within the</p>	Proceed as advertised

		<p>ownership of the developer, they are free to implement whatever additional parking measures they believe may be necessary. Whilst the Council recognises the parking issues in the Fleming Place development it also has the responsibility to ensure that traffic can flow freely and safely. Unfortunately, it is not the Councils primary duty or responsibility to make provision for vehicle parking on the public highway.</p> <p>The purpose of a residents parking scheme is to remove competition for kerb side parking between residents and other nearby facilities causing parking demand such as shoppers, commuters or workers. If the Council were to introduce a residents parking scheme, parking would only be allowed in places deemed safe and not causing an obstruction meaning it is unlikely that many more spaces would be available than the 18 unrestricted spaces being made available in the current proposals. Given this large disparity in demand we do not believe this to be viable solution. Additionally, residents would be required to buy a permit(s) which would not guarantee that they would be able to find a parking space upon returning home.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr R Angell – You have my support for the recommendations.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Fernbank Road – Extension of existing waiting restrictions		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	6

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Mr X XXXXXXXXXXXX XXX Fernbank Road	Mr S XXXXXXXXXXXX is greatly in favour of the proposal and mentions that they cannot come soon enough. He says that his life and his family's lives are in danger each time they exit their driveway due to inconsiderate parking and says that the cars block his view of traffic coming along the road. He adds the main issue is cars from residents of Quadrella Gardens parked adjacent to his property, who park on Fernbank Road despite having a large car park to the rear of the development. Has asked that we get the yellow lines painted as soon as possible.	Comments noted.	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXXX X Wood End Close	Ms XXXXXX is happy with the proposals along Fernbank Road as she mentions people park in complete disregard to the residents trying to get out their drives. She adds that most morning, she struggles to get out due to cars parked either side when dropping off their children and she cannot see over or around their cars which is extremely dangerous. She has asked if we will consider extending the no waiting at any time restriction a little further up so it will cover either side of Wood End Close.	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough & Parish Council members. Parking in the area mentioned was not identified to be an issue at that time. Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised
Mr X XXXXXX X Jubilee Ct	Mr XXXXXX agrees that parking here is bad during school times but believes restricting parking here all the time is not needed. He mentions that the area is used for parking when family and friends come to visit. He says that he only has 2 parking spaces and some people do not have any. Mr XXXXXX says that we should just restrict the area for 1 hour a day, 5 days a week.	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough & Parish Council members. Whilst the primary reason for undertaking the review of parking in the area was the issue of school time parking, further issues were brought to light including evening and weekend parking in the vicinity of King Edwards Rise. This parking was considered to be the detriment of road safety in the area and warranted the introduction of double yellow lines.	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXXX Wood End Close	Ms XXXXXX mentions that she struggles to get out of her road due to cars blocking it. She has asked if we can extend the restrictions so that it covers the top of Wood End Close.	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough & Parish Council members. Parking in the area mentioned was not identified to be an issue at that time.	Proceed as advertised

		Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	
Ms R XXXXXXXXXXXX	<p>Ms XXXXXXXXXXXX says that the area is a residential area with limited parking. She says that if we restrict the area it will create issues for local residents for parking their own car and when family and friends come to visit.</p> <p>She also mentions that if the road is to be restricted, it will be clear of parked cars meaning that cars are more likely to drive faster. She says that fast cars are already a problem, and this will become more dangerous for the children walking to and from school.</p>	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough & Parish Council members. Whilst the primary reason for undertaking the review of parking in the area was the issue of school time parking, further issues were brought to light including evening and weekend parking in the vicinity of King Edwards Rise. This parking was considered to be the detriment of road safety in the area and warranted the introduction of double yellow lines.	Proceed as advertised
Winkfield Parish Council	The Council wholeheartedly supports the proposals. They also add that they have received positive feedback from residents and no objections.	Comments noted.	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr Mrs D Hayes has expressed her support of the proposals and asked for the school to be informed in advance of the restrictions being installed.

Cllr N Atkinson – No final comments

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Simmonds Close – No waiting restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	4

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
XXXXXXXX XXXX Simmonds Close	The resident is in favour of the scheme and mentions that the issue has caused extreme inconvenience for the residents of Simmonds Close. The resident has said that it is a very good idea to place restrictions as they have tried everything to stop local office workers parking there.	Resident in favour of the scheme with no comments to change the proposals.	Proceed as advertised
Mr X XXXXXXXXX	Mr XXXXXXXXX mentions that the issue greatly effects the connected street Statham Court. He says that the current proposals on just the 2 roads will just direct more cars to Statham Court. He mentions that Statham Court parking issues have been reported before on numerous occasions. He believes that it makes sense to have the same restrictions on all of the cul-de-sacs. He also believes that the current proposals will push more cars to park on the main highway of Turnpike Road which is dangerous.	Unfortunately, site visits identified Simmonds Close as having a considerable parking problem that needed measures to be taken. Parking in other areas was not identified to be an issue. However, once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Traffic Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXXXXX XX Radcliffe Way	Ms XXXXXXXX believes that the proposals will push cars further into the estate which will cause further problems. She does agree that there should be proposals as turning in can be dangerous. She mentions that offices workers are taking up spaces and most residents have 2 cars so they need the spaces so asked if they could have resident permits. The resident asks if we can apply the restrictions to the whole length of Radcliffe Way and into Statham Court. She mentions that commercial vehicles are parking parallel to her house and her neighbour has the same problem.	Unfortunately, site visits identified Simmonds Close as having a considerable parking problem that needed measures to be taken. Parking in other areas was not identified to be an issue. However, once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Traffic Regulation Order. Bracknell Forest Council will not be adding any additional areas of residential parking.	Proceed as advertised
X XXXX Simmonds Close	X XXXX asks us to note that between the drive entrance to no. 11 Simmonds Close and the adjacent entrance to the block of flats there is short section of footway.	It is important to leave some areas that are safe for vehicle parking unrestricted for residents and it is the responsibility of individual drivers not to park on a drop kerb access.	Proceed as advertised

	<p>X XXXX states that this section always had 2 cars parked on it blocking the footway. They ask for the restrictions to be added to this section.</p>	<p>The area between the access to numbers 11 to 15 has been left unrestricted as there is a need in Simmonds Close to keep as much on street parking as possible and this area is large enough for one parked vehicle to do so safely.</p> <p>New areas cannot be added to the current proposals however the area will be monitored and if additional lengths of waiting restrictions are needed, they will be added to the next batch of Transport Regulation Orders.</p> <p>Bracknell Forest Council will install an Access Protection Marking (APM) across the driveway access to numbers 11 to 15 Simmonds Close. A vehicle access protection marking takes the form of a white coloured 'H' shaped bar that is painted across the access to a property. However, Access Protection Markings are not enforceable by law and vehicles parking on the marking alone cannot receive penalty charge notices from the Council's Parking Attendants or the Police. Access protection markings serve as a deterrent and give a visual reminder to motorists that they should not block access.</p> <p>Because an APM is not enforceable it does not need a consultation period and can be installed with these proposals.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

None received

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Cunworth Court – No waiting at any time restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	2

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Headteacher - Great Hollands Primary School	In favour of the proposals and hopes that they go some way in making the area both safe for the pupils and stress free for the residents.	Comments noted.	Proceed as advertised
Cllr X XXXXXX Bracknell TC	Cllr XXXXXX has no objections providing there is good visibility for both motorists and pedestrians as a footpath crosses this area and is used heavily by school children and people going to and from the shops.	<p>The waiting restriction proposal was designed to alleviate issues raised by residents with regards to obstructive parking at the side junction entrance to Cunworth Court, at the garage area entrance/ exit within Cunworth Court and at an adjacent dropped kerb pedestrian crossing in Great Hollands Square leading to the nearby shopping precinct.</p> <p>The proposed double yellow lines ensure clear sight lines for motorists and other road users at the side junction and at the dropped kerb pedestrian crossing where frequent daily access is required. Parking proposals have been kept to a minimum to keep as many roadside parking spaces as possible whilst maintaining safe access to residents homes, to the shops and other local amenities.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

None received

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Pondmoor Road – No waiting restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	2

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Headteacher - Fox Hill Primary School	Thanked us for sharing the information with her and mentions that she is sure it will improve the safety outside the school.	Comments noted.	Proceed as advertised
Miss X XXXXXXXX XX Pondmoor Road	<p>Miss XXXXXXXX is pleased that we have plans to place parking restrictions here but believes that she will not benefit at all by it. She mentions that she has a problem with people parking XXXX XXX XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX XXX XXXX XXXXX XX XXX XXX XX XX and has asked if we would extend our proposals to go XXXX XXX XXXXX.</p> <p>She has also requested that a sign be placed up saying 'No parking 9-5 school times, Mon-Fri'.</p>	<p>The Council had been contacted by staff at Fox Hill Primary School to report concerns in relation to road safety at the school gate and in Pond Moor Road due to parking restricting safe access. It is the Councils responsibility to act on reports of parking that is causing safety or obstruction issues.</p> <p>The waiting restriction proposal was designed to remove parking on a bend in the road, at a dropped kerb crossing to maintain clear sightlines and forward visibility of pedestrians/ other vehicles passing in the road ahead.</p> <p>The double yellow lines remove parking where it is unsafe and allow a passing place for vehicles in the carriageway, away from the main school entrance to maintain free flowing traffic in Pond Moor Road and alleviate safety concerns raised by the School and at all other times of the day.</p> <p>The proposed restriction encompasses the driveway entrance at no. 40 Pond Moor road and therefore removes any parking that may be obstructive and restrict egress/ access to this private property.</p> <p>A balance to the level of on-street parking is necessary during day time hours (i.e. 9 am - 5pm) to residents and visitors in Pondmoor Road, it is for this reason that these restrictions have been proposed.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr P Heydon – Many thanks. My view is all positive – the sooner done the better.

Cllr M Tullett - The comments are noted, thank you.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Sparrowhawk Way – No waiting at any time restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	5

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Mr X XXXXXXXX Sparrowhawk Way	Mr XXXXXXXX is in favour of the proposals but would like us to consider extending the proposals even further. The resident mentions that the proposals do not extend far enough to prevent the parking issues and it is only a matter of time before a serious accident occurs.	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough Council members. Parking in the area mentioned was not identified to be an issue at that time. Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXX Sparrowhawk Way	Ms XXXXX is concerned that if we leave a gap in the yellow lines outside the Co-Op then people will continue to park outside the shop which won't address the problem. The resident mentions that she has had several near misses when exiting Falcon Way as she is unable to see to her left. The resident also mentions that she has troubles crossing the road into Tawny Owl Square from outside the shop. She proposes that the yellow lines are implemented into Falcon Way up to the turn off to the flats car park, alongside the shop fronts and alongside the pavements to Tawny Owl Square.	An early version of the proposals included the provision of 2 unrestricted parking spaces outside the Co-Op. These were removed in the final version of the proposals which have been consulted upon. The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough Council members. Parking in the area mentioned was not identified to be an issue at that time. Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXXXXX XX Sparrowhawk Way	Ms XXXXXXXX is unsure as to why we are proposing no waiting at any time if we believe that the issue is mainly happening at school times. She believes that we should restrict parking only during the peak times to allow residents to continue to park there. She mentions that residents who are parking there do so in a responsible way and will lose this amenity if the proposals go ahead. She believes property prices will be affected by the proposals as it will make them less attractive on the market.	Whilst the on-street parking is particularly prevalent during school times, site visits have confirmed parking occurs outside these times also, therefore an any time prohibition on parking is the most suitable restriction. All residents have access to off street parking and the car park at Tawney Owl Square provides un-restricted overflow parking.	Proceed as advertised

	The resident says that the proposals will just push those who park in an obstructive way further down the road which will cause an issue to the neighbouring streets.	Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	
Resident comments (via Cllr Mrs K Neill)	Residents are very pleased the spaces outside the shops have been removed. The yellow lines should be extended to the junction with Chaffinch Gardens as there are often cars parked on this bend. Could the double yellow lines be extended up past Falcon Way (past the entrance to the shop) so delivery lorries are unhindered.	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits and discussions with Borough Council members. Parking in the area mentioned was not identified to be an issue at that time. Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised
Headteacher – Jennett’s Park CE Primary School	Believes this an excellent idea.	Comment noted.	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr M Temperton - I fully support both these proposals. I do think the lines may have to be extended up Falcon Way in the future but understand that this can be done if the need is proven .

I still think a crossing is needed by the shop, but am glad these lines will be put in and we will monitor the situation carefully. Can you please ensure that enforcement is carried out, so that residents do not ignore these yellow lines, given a month or two after their installation?

Cllr K Neill - No additional comments from me at this stage

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Staplehurst – Extension of existing waiting restrictions proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	3

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Ms X XXXXXX X Staplehurst	<p>Ms XXXXXX believe proposals are good as crossing the road in the morning is very busy and at times, dangerous.</p> <p>However, she is concerned that the natural speed of the road will increase as the parked cars along the road worked as a natural 'give way' section which slowed cars down. She has asked that we consider placing speed bumps down the road to keep the natural speed of the road down.</p>	<p>Staplehurst is currently subject to a 20mph speed limit. Whilst it is accepted that the removal of the parking may lead to a minimal increase in speed, the positive effect in terms of increased visibility outweighs the negative aspects caused by these restrictions.</p> <p>The Council's current traffic calming policy states that traffic calming will only be considered where a significant speeding issue or a history of speed related accidents are occurring which is not currently the case in Staplehurst.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Ms X XXXXXXX Staplehurst	<p>Ms XXXXXXX says that there have been visibility issues here but she has never felt the need to complain to the council to restrict parking 24/7. She says that there is a blind spot whether cars are parked there or not, and you just have to take your time to pull out. She said that the problem is the road layout, not the parked cars. She says that a better option would be to place a mirror.</p> <p>She mentions that there is a serious problem at school pick up and drop off times that is not policed and the restrictions have not made a lot of difference. She says that restricting parking at all times will make the issue worse as it will push cars into Staplehurst.</p> <p>She says that a better option would be to just extend the existing restrictions as it is only a problem during the busiest times. Restricting parking here will seriously reduce the options for parking for any visitors and residents.</p>	<p>The Council has received a complaint regarding long term parking in this position causing visibility issues for vehicles travelling along Staplehurst as well as those exiting the adjacent cul-de-sac. Site visits have confirmed this issue and agreed the need for restrictions. In view of the visibility restrictions it would not be suitable to just restrict the parking just for school times.</p> <p>It is accepted that the current layout naturally restricts visibility however removing the parked vehicles will improve the current situation.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mrs X XXXXXX X Staplehurst	<p>Whilst Mrs XXXXXX admits there is a problem with visibility, she believes this is caused by parents of Wooden Hill School. She points out that if parking is restricted here then it will affect the people who organise the school Breakfast and After School club who she believes park there. She adds that adding restrictions here will affect residents who do not have enough parking.</p>	<p>The Council has received a complaint regarding long term parking in this position causing visibility issues for vehicles travelling along Staplehurst as well as those exiting the adjacent cul-de-sac. Site visits have confirmed this issue and agreed the need for restrictions.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	<p>Mrs XXXXX suggests that we should consider adding restrictions to a section of Woodenhill to allow cars to pull into should a car be coming the in other direction.</p> <p>Mrs XXXXX adds that she is not aware of any accidents occurring and should we remove the parking this may lead to vehicle speeds increasing.</p> <p>Finally, she believes the restrictions may lead to parents parking on the grass area opposite her house and it is likely that parents will ignore the restrictions as they do now.</p>	<p>The majority of nearby properties have off road parking with space for 2/3 cars. Additionally, whilst it is accepted that the restrictions will remove parking for 3/4 cars, most of the adjacent road is covered by a single yellow line restriction prohibiting parking for just two hours a day Monday – Friday.</p> <p>Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.</p> <p>Staplehurst is currently subject to a 20mph speed limit. Whilst it is accepted that the removal of the parking may lead to a minimal increase in speed, the positive effect in terms of increased visibility outweighs the negative aspects caused by these restrictions.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr L Gibson - Thank you for this comprehensive piece of work on a problem spot in our ward.

Cllr M Gbadebo – Thank you

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Holly Spring Lane – No waiting at any time restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	3

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
Mr X XXXXXX X Holly Spring Lane	<p>Mr XXXXXX believes that the proposal will just push the issue to houses number 3 and 5 and block the bus stop. He also believes that the remaining kerb side parking that has been left will be a safety hazard. The resident believes that there is no benefit to the residents to have this 25-metre kerb side parking left in as there is enough off-street parking.</p> <p>The resident mentions that the safety hazard is not only at the junctions but is along the whole road between Goughs Lane and Warfield Road as this road is narrow.</p> <p>The resident believes that the restrictions should extend up both sides of the road up to the 'sump trap'.</p> <p>The resident mentions that many children use this path to walk to school and that children are forced to walk in the street due to the footpath being too narrow.</p> <p>The resident also mentions that people use Holly Spring to avoid parking charges at the Town Centre.</p>	<p>The current level of parking shows that there is a need for some on-street parking to remain. The 25 metre length of parking is situated in a safe place that does not affect visibility for vehicles exiting Goughs Lane and will have the positive effect of slowing vehicles down by creating a natural chicane feature.</p> <p>Restrictions up to the sump trap were not considered necessary as parking on the south of the road would be in a safe position and due to the drop kerb access to the residential properties on the north side parking would be limited.</p> <p>Parking in other areas was not identified to be an issue however once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.</p> <p>Obstruction of the footway is an offence enforceable by the police. Such incidences should be reported to Thames Valley Police on the non-emergency 101 number.</p>	Proceed as advertised
XXX XXXXXXXX & XX X XXXXXXXX X Holly Spring Lane	<p>XX & XXX XXXXXXXX support the proposals as the section of Holly Spring Lane between Warfield Road and Goughs Lane has become dangerous due to parked cars.</p> <p>However, they are concerned that proposed restriction do not extend far enough, pushing parking further along the road. They believe the restrictions should be extended to the sump trap.</p> <p>They also add that a number of the vehicles currently parked in Holly Spring Lane are workmen working on the care home in Warfield Road. XX & XXX XXXXXXXX question whether a final decision should be delayed until this work and the associated vehicles are no longer there.</p>	<p>Restrictions up to the sump trap were not considered necessary as parking on the south of the road would be in a safe position and due to the drop kerb access to the residential properties on the north side parking would be limited.</p> <p>Parking in other areas was not identified to be an issue however once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	Finally, they add that action should be taken against the vehicles that park on the footway whatever the outcome of the consultation.	Obstruction of the footway is an offence enforceable by the police. Such incidences should be reported to Thames Valley Police on the non-emergency 101 number.	
Mr X XXXXXXXX X Holly Spring Lane	<p>Mr XXXXXXXX strongly objects to the introduction of double yellow lines in Holly Spring Lane.</p> <p>He states that the parking issues have arisen from contractors parking in the road who are working on the new retirement home in Warfield Road. He believes the parking situation will improve when this work finishes in a few weeks.</p> <p>He adds the parking restrictions will just push the problem further down the road.</p> <p>Mr XXXXXXXX suggests the proposals are amended to either simply double yellow lines at the junction of Holly Spring Lane and Warfield Road, or a mixture of double yellow and single yellow line restrictions which would address the issue whilst not affecting local residents and leaving parking available in the evening. Although he adds it would still push the issue further down the road.</p>	<p>Parking surveys have been taking place for 12 months with the parking situation progressively getting worse during that time. It is believed that parking is due to several different sources such as the town centre, Bracknell College as well as commercial vehicle for nearby building work.</p> <p>Parking in other areas was not identified to be an issue however once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.</p> <p>Due to there being only a few nearby properties and those properties having significant amount of off-street parking provision it is believed that local residents are not reliant on parking their vehicles on the road and therefore will not be affected by the proposals.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr R Angell – You have my support for the recommendations.

Cllr S Ingham - I have had a walk about and read the comments and the officers' responses. I think you are right, we just need to keep an eye on the side roads to see if people start parking there instead.

Cllr G Barnard expressed his agreement with Cllr Ingham's comments

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Sandy Lane – No waiting restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	2

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
XXX XXXXXXXXXX Sandy Lane	The resident is pleased about the parking proposals but is unsure why weekend parking has to also be restricted.	The section of Sandy Lane that is proposed to be restricted is narrow and any parking along its length restricts safe access and egress from the School. It is proposed to include weekends in the restriction so that it keeps that section clear for any events happening outside of normal school hours.	Proceed as advertised
Cllr X XXXXXXXXXX Bracknell TC	<p>Cllr XXXXXXXXXX asks if cars are not parked on the lane where would BFC expect them to park.</p> <p>Cllr XXXXXXXXXX asks if the road could be opened up at school times, possibly a one-way system with a strict speed limit and offering a drop off point. He adds that would facilitate parents dropping off their children safely. He asks if the school could operate this kind of scheme themselves with parental help.</p> <p>He is interested if the school has any other ideas on how to improve the situation.</p>	<p>The section of Sandy Lane that is proposed to be restricted is narrow and any parking along its length restricts safe access and egress from the School. Indeed, it is due to representations made by the School that the restrictions be promoted. Every driver has a duty of care to park where they are not causing an obstruction or safety hazard. This is especially important outside of Schools. There is a car park directly to the south of Sandy Lane where parents are permitted to pick up and drop off and there are unrestricted lengths of carriageway away from the frontage of the School where they can safely wait.</p> <p>It is not permitted for anyone other than a Police Officer on duty to direct traffic on the public highway. The restrictions are proposed to keep the area directly outside of the school gate clear of traffic and hence increase the safety of pedestrians along this length. Increasing the traffic flow along this length would directly affect safety of children going to and from School.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr J Green – I am in favour of the restrictions.

Cllr T Brown - Whilst I can understand the annoyance of some residents, there are nearby designated on-street parking areas which, although not quite so convenient, will be available for them. School safety is of paramount importance in this location. I support the change.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Lily Hill Road – No waiting at any time restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	2

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
XXXXXX XXXXXX XX Badgers Way	<p>The resident says that placing parking restrictions here will mean that they can no longer park in their normal spots because they will be taken up by other cars. The resident mentions that their main issue was a camper van but this has since been dealt with so is no longer a problem and residents are much happier.</p> <p>They add that if you enforce parking here then it will move cars further down the road which will cause issues for the residents, the resident mentions that their neighbours agree with this.</p> <p>The resident says that we should look at other options before taking such a drastic option as out first option.</p>	<p>The proposal is protecting the junction to the minimum recommended distance as stated in the highway code and therefore no vehicles should be parking in this area anyway. Therefore, the number of vehicles being displaced is minimal.</p> <p>The purpose of the restrictions is to keep the junction clear and maintain minimum visibility requirements to enable drive to manoeuvre safely at the junction.</p> <p>Our observations do show that the campervan vehicle is still parked on street from time to time. However, if the vehicle isn't there the restrictions will still prevent other vehicles from parking in the bell mouth of the junction</p>	Proceed as advertised
Mr & Mrs XXXX	<p>Mr & Mrs XXXX are happy with the proposals as they feel it is dangerous when driving towards Lily Hill Road.</p> <p>They make two observations they ask to be considered.</p> <p>Outside no 77 there a large American style RV vehicle parked for most of the time. This adds to the danger when pulling out of Badgers Way. They ask if there any restrictions on parking this type of vehicle.</p> <p>They add that whilst they appreciate the removal of the vehicles at the junction, they are concerned it will move them further down Badgers Way and in particular opposite their house. They ask if it is possible to extend the restrictions further down Badgers Way allowing parking on the west side of the road.</p>	<p>There are no specific regulations governing the parking of campervan type vehicles on the public highway, as such they are subject to the same highway regulations as any other small private vehicle.</p> <p>Essentially it is the driver's responsibility to park in a manner that is safe and not causing an obstruction to prevent their vehicle introducing a road safety issue. If any vehicle is parked in such a way that could be considered inappropriate Thames Valley Police do have the powers to enforce against this type of offence.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible to add to these restrictions without restarting the consultation, we would therefore implement the restrictions as proposed and monitor the situation.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Cllr R Angell – You have my support for the recommendations.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (CONTROL OF ON-STREET PARKING) (No. 2) ORDER 2019 Popeswood Road – No waiting at any time restriction proposals		
Date Advertised:	28.08.2019	No. of Objections Received:	1

Objector	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify / Proceed as advertised.
XXXXXX XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX Popeswood Road	<p>The resident states they have lived in Popeswood Road for seven years and parking on Saturday during the services at the college has been an issue. She adds she has seen many near accidents caused by speed, and cars parked on both sides of the road.</p> <p>The resident states that this makes it dangerous for both through traffic and residents trying to leave their driveway as drivers are forced onto the wrong side of the road.</p> <p>The resident believes the proposals do not go far enough and asks if they could be extended further down Popeswood Road.</p>	The parking restrictions were developed following several site visits following complaints from local residents. Parking in the area mentioned was not identified to be an issue at that time. Unfortunately, at this time we are unable to add new lengths of restrictions to the current proposals without the need to start the consultation again. Once the restrictions are put in place the surrounding roads will be monitored and if additional restrictions are needed, they will be put forward for the next Transport Regulation Order.	Proceed as advertised

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

None received